



# Graded Motor Imagery Programme

## Evidence base

NOI holds the view that evidence based practice is the integration of clinical expertise acquired from clinical experience with the best external evidence from clinical trials and research from basic sciences (Sackett et al., 1996).

Graded Motor Imagery is a sequence of strategies including laterality restoration (being able to identify left and right limbs), motor imagery and use of a mirror box. The therapeutic target is the process in the central nervous system broadly referred to as central sensitisation. Evidence for the use of the GMI process or its individual components comes from neuroscience and clinical trials.

Therapeutic tools suggested for laterality recognition are the Recognise™ Flash Cards and the Recognise™ online. A Mirror Box is required for mirror therapy.

## Clinical trial evidence

In the most recent randomised controlled trial, the graded motor imagery package has demonstrated good evidence for outcome (reduced pain and disability) in Complex Regional Pain Syndrome 1 (CRPS1) (Moseley, 2004a, 2005) and CRPS1 and phantom limb pain (Moseley, 2006). It works best if carried out in the sequence of laterality recognition, motor imagery and mirror therapy (Moseley, 2006).

A recent systematic literature review of graded motor imagery in CRPS 1 advocates its use to reduce pain (Daly AE, Bialocerkowski AE, 2008). There is good evidence for the use of mirror therapy alone for acute CRPS (McCabe et al., 2003; McCabe et al., 2004).

Mirror therapy alone for phantom limb pain has shown benefits in small trials (McLachlan et al., 2004; Ramachandran & Rogers-Ramachandran, 1996). There are case reports of successful mirror therapy management of CRPS (Karmarkar & Lieberman, 2006) and post hand surgery pain (Rosen & Lundborg, 2005) in respected medical journals.

Vladimir Tichelaar et al., (2007) demonstrated benefits of mirror box therapy with cognitive behavioural therapy in three patients with CRPS1.

CRPS and phantom limb pain are severe neuropathic pain states. It would seem that the GMI process would be beneficial for other pain states such as overuse syndromes (variously focal dystonia, repetition strain injury, cumulative trauma disorder) and various arthritic syndromes. Anecdotal evidence supports this contention, suggesting that trials are worthy.

## Basic sciences evidence

The pathobiological target of graded motor imagery techniques is the process broadly known as central sensitisation. For a recent review see Campbell and Meyer (2006). This includes changes such as cortical reorganisation where brain parts dedicated to body parts and function anatomically change. The advent of brain mapping techniques such as functional magnetic resonance imaging have provided a solid science foundation to notions of cortical reorganisation. Systems of 'mirror neurones' exist in the brain (Gallese et al., 1996) and are activated by watching movement or imagined movement.

Loss of laterality recognition is known to occur in patients with CRPS and phantom limb pain.



(Moseley, 2004b; Nico et al., 2004; Schwoebel et al., 2002).

Attempts at limb laterality recognition activates pre motor (association) cortices, not the primary motor cortex, allowing a selective and graded therapy (Moseley et al., 2003).

Production and perception of motor action activates common brain parts (Blakemore & Decety, 2001; Grezes & Decety, 2001) allowing imagery to be a way of 'exercising' neurones in a graded programme.

## References

Baliki MN, et al. Corticostriatal functional connectivity predicts transition to chronic back pain. *Nat Neurosci* 2012. advance online publication.

Bowering J, Butler DS, Fulton I, Moseley GL. Implicit Motor Imagery in people with a history of back pain, current back pain, both or neither. Submitted 2013.

Butler D, Moseley GL. Explain Pain. Adelaide: Noigroup Publications, 2003.

Daly AE, Bialocerkowski AE. Does evidence support physiotherapy management of adult Complex Regional Pain Syndrome Type One? A systematic review. *Eur J Pain* 2009;13:339-53.

Decety J. Do imagined and executed actions share the same neural substrate? *Cognitive Brain Research* 1996;3:87-93.

Decety J. The neurophysiological basis of motor imagery. *Behav Brain Res* 1996;77:45-52.

Dey A, Barnsley N, Mohan R, McCormick M, McAuley JH, Moseley GL. (2012) Are children who play a sport or a musical instrument better at motor imagery than children who do not? *Br J Sports Med* 2012;46:923-926

Diers, M., Christmann, C., Koeppel, C. et al. Mirrored, imagined and executed movements differentially activate sensorimotor cortex in amputees with and without phantom limb pain. *Pain* 2010;149(2):296-304.

Doidge N. The brain that changes itself. Viking Press, New York, 2008.

Flor H, Braun C, Elbert T, et al. Extensive reorganization of primary somatosensory cortex in chronic back pain patients. *Neurosci Lett* 1997;224:5-8.

Flor H, Elbert T, Knecht S, et al. Phantom-limb pain as a perceptual correlate of cortical reorganization following arm amputation. *Nature* 1995;375:482-4.

Flor H, Devor M, Jensen T. Phantom limb pain: Causes and cures. In Dostrovsky JO, Carr DB, Kolzenburg M eds. *Progress in Pain Research and Management*. Seattle: IASP Press, 2003.

Flor H, Nikolajsen L, Jensen TS. Phantom limb pain: a case of maladaptive CNS plasticity? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2006;7:873-81.

Gatchel RJ, Peng YB, Peters ML, et al. The biopsychosocial approach to chronic pain: Scientific advances and future directions. *Psychological Bulletin* 2007;133:581-624.



Gifford L, Butler D. The integration of pain sciences into clinical practice. *The Journal of Hand Therapy* 1997;10:86-95.

Gustin SM, Wrigley PJ, Gandevia SC, et al. Movement imagery increases pain in people with neuropathic pain following complete thoracic spinal cord injury. *Pain* 2008;137:237-44.

Harris AJ. Cortical origin of pathological pain. *Lancet* 1999;354:1464-6.

Hudson ML, McCormick K, Zalucki N, et al. Expectation of pain replicates the effect of pain in a hand laterality recognition task: Bias in information processing toward the painful side? *Eur J Pain* 2006;10:219-224

Johnson S, Hall J, Barnett S, Draper M, Derbyshire G, Haynes L, Rooney C, Cameron H, Moseley GL, de C Williams AC, McCabe C, Goebel A. (2012) Using graded motor imagery for complex regional pain syndrome in clinical practice: Failure to improve pain. *Eur J Pain*. Apr;16(4):550-61.

Lotze M, Moseley GL. Role of distorted body image in pain. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2007;9:488-96.

Lagueux E, Charest J, Lefrançois-Caron E, Mauger ME, Mercier E, Savard K, Tousignant-Laflamme Y. (2012) Modified graded motor imagery for complex regional pain syndrome type 1 of the upper extremity in the acute phase: a patient series. *J Rehabil Res*. 35(2):138-45.

Maclver, K., Lloyd, D.M., Kelly, S. et al. (2008) Phantom limb pain, cortical reorganization and the therapeutic effect of mental imagery. *Brain*, 131(Pt 8), 2181-2191.

Maihofner C, Handwerker HO, Neundorfer B, et al. Patterns of cortical reorganization in complex regional pain syndrome. *Neurology* 2003;61:1707-15.

McCabe CS, Haigh RC, Halligan PW, et al. Simulating sensory-motor incongruence in healthy volunteers: implications for a cortical model of pain *Rheumatology* 2005;44:509-16.

McCabe CS, Haigh RC, Ring EFR, et al. A controlled pilot study of the utility of mirror visual feedback in the treatment of complex regional pain syndrome (type 1). *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2003;42:97-101.

Melzack R. Pain and the neuromatrix in the brain. *Journal of Dental Education* 2001;65:1378-82.

Moseley GL. A pain neuromatrix approach to rehabilitation of chronic pain patients. *Man Ther* 2003;8:130-40.

Moseley G, Acerra N. Complex Regional Pain Syndrome is associated with distorted body image of the affected part. *J Neurol Sci* 2005;238:S501-S.

Moseley GL. Distorted body image in complex regional pain syndrome. *Neurology* 2005;65:773-.

Moseley GL. Graded motor imagery for pathologic pain - A randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* 2006;67:2129-34.

Moseley GL. I can't find it! Distorted body image and tactile dysfunction in patients with chronic back pain. *Pain* 2008;140:239-43.

Moseley GL. Imagined movements cause pain and swelling in a patient with complex regional



pain syndrome. *Neurology* 2004;62:1644.

Moseley GL. Is successful rehabilitation of complex regional pain syndrome due to sustained attention to the affected limb? A randomised clinical trial. *Pain* 2005;114:54-61.

Moseley GL. Reconceptualising pain according to its underlying biology. *Physical Therapy Reviews* 2007;12:169-78.

Moseley, G.L. (2009) Reflections, imagery and illusions: the past, present and future of training the brain in CRPS. *RSDSA Review* 2009;22(1):9-10.

Moseley GL. Why do people with complex regional pain syndrome take longer to recognize their affected hand? *Neurology* 2004;62:2182-6.

Moseley GL, Birklein F, van Hilten BJ, et al. Imagined movements in CRPS - reply to Hall et al. *Arthritis & Rheumatism-Arthritis Care & Research* 2009;61:140-1.

Moseley GL, Gallace A, Spence C. Bodily illusions in health and disease: physiological and clinical perspectives and the concept of a cortical body matrix. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2012;36:34-46.

Moseley GL, Gallace A, Spence C. Is mirror therapy all it is cracked up to be? Current evidence and future directions. *Pain* 2008;138:7-10.

Moseley GL, Gandevia SC. Re: Sensory-motor incongruence and reports of 'pain', *Rheumatology* 2005;44:1083-1085: Reply. *Rheumatology* 2006;45:645

Moseley GL, Parsons TJ, Spence T. Visual distortion of a limb modulates the pain and swelling evoked by movement *Curent Biology* 2009;18:R1047-8.

Moseley GL, Schweinhardt P, Wise R, et al. Virtual, imagined and mirror movements - a novel approach to complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS1). *European Federation of IASP Chapters Triennial Conference. Prague, Czech Republic, 2003: Abstract 686T p422.*

Moseley GL, Sim DF, Henry ML, et al. Experimental hand pain delays recognition of the contralateral hand - evidence that acute and chronic pain have opposite effects on information processing? *Cog Brain Res* 2005;25:188-94.

Moseley GL, Zalucki N, Birklein F, et al. Thinking about movement hurts: The effect of motor imagery on pain and swelling in people with chronic arm pain. *Arthritis Care Res* 2008;59:623-31.

Nico D, Daprati E, Rigal F, et al. Left and right hand recognition in upper limb amputees. *Brain* 2004;127:120-32.

Parsons LM. Integrating cognitive psychology, neurology and neuroimaging. *Acta Psychol (Amst)* 2001;107:155-81.

Ramachandran VS, Rogers- Ramachandran D. Synaesthesia in phantom limbs induced with mirrors. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 1996;236:377-86.

Rosen, B. and G. Lundborg. *Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery* 2005;39: 104-



Stenekes, M.W., Geertzen, J.H., Nicolai, JP[] , et al. Effects of motor imagery on hand function during immobilization after flexor tendon repair. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2009;90:553-559.

Stevens JA. Interference effects demonstrate distinct roles for visual and motor imagery during the mental representation of human action. *Cognition* 2005;95:329-50.

Sumitani M, Miyauchi S, McCabe CS, et al. Mirror visual feedback alleviates differentiation pain, depending on qualitative aspects of the pain: a preliminary report. *Rheumatology* 2008;47:1038-43.

Vlaeyen JWS, Linton SJ. Fear-avoidance and its consequences in chronic musculoskeletal pain: a state of the art. *Pain* 2000;85:317-22.

Wand BM, Parkitny L, O'Connell NE, et al. Cortical changes in chronic low back pain: Current state of the art and implications for clinical practice. *Man Ther* 2011;16:15-20.

Wallwork SB, et al., Left/right neck rotation judgments are affected by ago, gender, handedness and image rotation, *Manual Therapy* (2013)

Woolf CJ. Central sensitization: Implications for the diagnosis and treatment of pain. *Pain* 2011;152:S2-15.